

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/891,602	06/26/2001	Alexey B. Dyatkin	ORT-1451	2105	
27777 759	90 04/11/2002				
AUDLEY A. CIAMPORCERO JR.			EXAMINER		
	N & JOHNSON PLAZA		AULAKH, C	AULAKH, CHARANJIT	
NEW BRUNSWICK, NJ 08933-7003			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1625		
			DATE MAILED: 04/11/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/891,602

Dyatkin, A.B. et al. Art Unit

1625

Office Action Summary

CHARANJIT AULAKH

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

		•
	for Reply	
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	ET TO EXPIRE3 MONTH(S) FROM
- Exter	nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37	CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed
	ter SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this community period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) da	nication. ys, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will
be	considered timely.	y period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this
co	mmunication.	•
- Any i		by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 🗔	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This a	ection is non-final.
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance closed in accordance with the practice under ${\it Ex}$,	e except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposi	tion of Claims	
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-57</u>	is/are pending in the application.
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>1-57</u>	is/are rejected.
7) 🗌	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.
8) 🗆	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Applica	tion Papers	
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/a	re objected to by the Examiner.
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved.
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	miner.
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
13) 🗌	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:	
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received.
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents h	ave been received in Application No
	application from the International Bu	
	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of	
14)[X	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachm		
	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)	18) X Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)5
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
17) 📙 lm	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	20) Other:

Art Unit: 1625

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

I. Claims 1-57, drawn to compounds of formula (I) where B2 represents C1 alkylene or C1 alkenylene and B1 represents C1-2 alkylene or C1-2 alkenylene, pharmaceutical compositions containing these compounds and a method of using these compounds, classified in class 546, subclass 112.

II. Claims 1-23, 25-27 and 32-57, drawn to compounds of formula (I) where B1 and B2 are other than defined above for group I, pharmaceutical compositions containing these compounds and a method of using these compounds, classified in class 540, subclass 450+.

- 2. The inventions I and II as defined above are patentably distinct, each from the other since they are structurally so divergent that a reference showing compounds of invention I would not render compounds of invention II prima facia obvious. Search required for e.g; compounds of invention I in class 546 is not the same search required for e.g; compounds of invention II in class 540 and therefore, constitutes a burdonsome search. Thus, restriction requirement as indicated above is proper.
- 3. During a telephone conversation with the applicant's attorney, Mr. Hal B. Woodrow on April
- 2, 2002, a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of group I (

Art Unit: 1625

compounds of claim 24 as species), claims 1-57. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action.

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-57 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In Independent claims 1, 24, 25 and 26, the terms "racemic mixtures, diastereomers and enantiomers thereof" are indefinite since it is not clear which racemate, diastereomer or enantiomer is being reffered here and furthermore, the actual steps for preparing them are missing. The applicants are suggested to delete these terms to overcome this rejection.

Art Unit: 1625

Claims 37-43 are essentially duplicate of claims 46-51. The language of claims 37-43 is confusing and therefore, the applicants are suggested to cancel claims 37-43. Also, all claims 41-43 depend upon claim 40 and there is substantial overlap of various disorders being treated in claims 41, 42 and 43.

Claim 45 is a substantial duplicate of claim 44. The applicants are suggested to cancel this claim since the language of claim 44 is proper.

In claim 47, it is not clear what the applicants mean by the term "selective antagonist of an alpha4 integrin receptor and an antagonist of atleast two alpha4 integrin receptors". The applicants are suggested to include the specific subtypes of alpha4 integrin receptors to clarify this confusion. Claims 50 and 51 both depend upon claim 46 and there is an overlap of certain disorders being treated such as inflammatory and autoimmune disorders.

Claims 52 and 53 depend upon claim 46 and refer to compound of claim 46. However, claim 46 is directed to a method for the treatment of ----. An appropriate correction is required.

Claims 55 and 56 depend upon claim 46. Claim 46 is directed to a method of treating diseases by administering therapeutically effective amount of compound of claim 1. However, claims 55 and 56 refer to administering pharmaceutically composition of claim 44 which means administering compound of claim 1 twice. An appropriate correction is required.

IMPROPER MARKUSH GROUP

7. Claims 1-23, 25-27 and 32-57 are objected as being directed to Improper Markush Group since the variables B1 and B2 are critical for the common core of the instant compounds. The

Art Unit: 1625

applicants are suggested to amend the claims to read upon the elected group to overcome this objection.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 8. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

 The instant compounds directed to the elected group are allowable over the prior art since they are neither disclosed nor obvious over the prior art. In the prior art, Merck & Co. (WO 98/53814) discloses heterocyclic amide compounds as cell adhesion inhibitors which are closely related to to the instant compounds. However, the closely related compounds (see compounds of claims 13-16) of Merck & Co. differ in structure from the instant compounds in having a different heterocyclic ring (isoquinoline or pyrrole ring instead of an aza-bridged bicyclic ring) and furthermore, there is no teaching or suggestion in the prior art to modify the compounds of Merck & Co. to prepare the instant compounds.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chana Aulakh whose telephone number is (703) 305-4482. The examiner can normally be reached on "Monday-Thursday "from 7:30 A.M. to 6:00 P.M.

 If the attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mr. Alan Rotman, can be reached on (703) 308-4698. The fax number for this Group is (703) 308-4556.

Art Unit: 1625

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group's receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

C-S. Allakh

CHARANJIT S. AULAKH

PRIMARY EXAMINER